



## PATENT

Attorney Docket No. CLRV-002

Confirmation No. 6650

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**In re Application of:**

**Candice Hellen Brown Elliott**

Application No.: 10/024,326

Filed: December 14, 2001

For: COLOR FLAT PANEL DISPLAY  
SUB-PIXEL ARRANGEMENTS  
AND LAYOUTS

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Group Art Unit: 2673

Examiner: Lao, Lun Yi Technology Center 2600

RECEIVED

MAY 17 2004

Technology Center 2600

## Certificate of Transmission/ Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail to the USPTO on the following date: May 10, 2004.

Carolyn Marsden  
Printed Name

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

**Sir:**

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(c), Applicant brings to the attention of the Examiner the documents listed on the attached PTO 1449. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed after the events recited in Section 1.97(b) but, to the undersigned's knowledge, before the mailing date of either a Final action, Quayle

action, or a Notice of Allowance. Under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c), this Information Disclosure Statement is accompanied by a fee of \$180.00 as specified by Section 1.17(p).

Copies of the listed documents are attached. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the listed documents and indicate that they were considered by making the appropriate notations on the attached form.

In matching previously submitted Information Disclosure Statements made by Applicant, Applicant notes that the Examiner has apparently not seen nor considered Information Disclosure Statements submitted on Mar 25, 2002 or on July 15, 2003 (as noted as being submitted on the "File History" supplied by Private Pair).

Thus, all such references are listed again in this present Information Disclosure Statements, including some new references newly submitted for the first time.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies the documents as prior art against any claims in the application and Applicant determines that the cited document does not constitute "prior art" under United States law, Applicant reserves the right to present to the office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Applicant further reserves the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10 May 2004

By: Stuart P. Kaler  
Stuart Kaler  
Reg. No. 35,913